WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:	6-28-29 Inspector	سلاله		
Time		·	Over	cn st
		. Yes	No	. Notes
CCR:	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	<u>:</u>		
I.		,		
	localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	=	1	+
-	CCR?			1.
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells	<u> </u>		
l	containing CCR or within the general landfill			1
1	operations that represent a potential disruption			
	to ongoing CCR management operations?			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or		<u> </u>	
	within the general landfill operations that	•	1	
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			
	the CCR management operations.			
CCRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)(4			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting			
	period? If answer is no, no additional			•
	information required			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	 -		
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-	
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	•		
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			•
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			
	landfill access roads?		1	•
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		-	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe	. 1	1	•
	corrective action measures below.			-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			
	measures effective? If the answer is no.	1		
	describe recommended changes below.			
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen			
	complaints received during the reporting		1	
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
II_	Were the citizen complaints logged?			-
iitional)	Notes:	_ •		
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	1101co>			
	<u> </u>			

Q:\Waste Connections\Lensing\CCR Flan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

līme:	Weather Conditions: 76	<u></u>	024, 5	un ·	
		Yes	No		Notes
CRI	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	<u>; </u>			
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or:	ĺ	1	T	_
	localized settlement observed on the	ŧ.			
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		. /	/ 1 .	
	CCR7				
2	Were conditions observed within the cells				
	containing CCR or within the general landfill			1	
	operations that represent a potential disruption			1	
3.	to ongoing CCR management operations?		ļ		
ے۔	Were conditions observed within the cells or				
	within the general landfill operations that				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		1 11/	1	
	the CCR management operations.				
CRF	agitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)(4))		,	
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting		1/		
	period? If answer is no, no additional			-	
	information required				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR				
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to	1			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not	1			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				<u> </u>
	landfill access roads?		}		•
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the				1
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		1	-	
	corrective action measures below.	.	j		•
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				
	measures effective? If the answer is no,				
	describe recommended changes below.		1		
.0_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
	complaints received during the reporting				
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question				
I.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				•
	1, 00				
ĭonal l	• .				

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Flan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 -- ls=

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SEB LANSING LANDEUL

Date: 6-13-24 Inspector Und Va.

Time	: 2:40 Weather Conditions: - 5	inn	74			
		Yes.	No		Notes	
CCR	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	849				
-	localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		-		-	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	i	C			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	-	10			
CCRF	agitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)	(<u>4</u>))				
<u>4.</u>	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		V	•		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				•	
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?				-	
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		-	-	_	
9_	Are current CCR fitgitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.					
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-				
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				-	-
ītīonal l	Notes:	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015=ls=

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB/LANSING LANDFILL

Date	Inspector_ Um	die	1		-	
Time		16	5uz	•		
	•	Yes	No		Notes	
CCR	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	.849				
-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	1			-	
- 2	CCR7					
	containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		L			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	į.	V			
CCRE	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))				
<u> Ť</u>	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.					
5_	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?		-			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?				•	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			-	-	
9	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.					
IOL	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-				
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				•	
litional	Notes:					
		·				;
				-		

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Flan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 = ls=